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## Motivation

- Return to college
- Large (Kane and Rouse, 1995; Card (1995)
- Increasing (Goldin and Katz, 2008)
- But college attainment rates have stagnated over the last 40 years
- Enrollment has gone up
- Offset by reductions in completion rates


## Motivation

- Inadequate preparation in high school is one possible reason for slow growth in college attainment
- This perception has motivated high school reforms aimed at increasing "standards"
- Strengthening graduation requirements
- High school exit exams (or harder HSEE)


## Motivation

- High school reforms have ambiguous effects on college outcomes
- Positive effects if they improve academic preparation
- Negative effect for students who do not graduate from HS because of reform
- Depends on college admissions policies
- Depends on college outcomes of "marginal" HS graduates


## Motivation

- These considerations apply more generally to interventions aimed at improving college outcomes
- Enrollment could be an inadequate outcome measure
- Likely to be most relevant for marginal students affected by interventions


## This Paper

- Goal: estimate the causal effect of a HS diploma on college outcomes
- Data: TSP administrative data with information on enrollment and attainment
- Research Design: "Fuzzy" RDD based on high school exit exams


## This Paper

- Strong effect of HSD on P(ever enroll)
- About 10 ppts (or about $22 \%$ of the mean)
- Concentrated almost entirely in 2-Yr. colleges
- No effect on college credits
- No effect on receipt of a college degree


## This Paper

- Strong effect of HSD on P(ever enroll)
- About 10 ppts (or about $22 \%$ of the mean)
- Concentrated almost entirely in 2-Yr. colleges
- No effect on college credits
- No effect on receipt of a college degree
-> HSD's affect enrollment, but for students who have very low college persistence
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## Background: Prior Literature

- Effect of HSEE on HS outcomes (Dee \& Jacob, 2007; Warren et al., 2007; Reardon et al., 2009; Warren \& Jenkins, 2005; Martorell, 2005; Papay et al., 2010; Ou, 2010)
- Results are inconclusive and sensitive to empirical approach and data
- Effect of HSEE on post-HS outcomes
- Dee \& Jacob (2007) find little effect on college enrollment
- Martorell \& Clark (2010) find HSD status affected by exit exam has little effect on earnings
- Effect of GED on college enrollment
- Tyler and Lofstrom (2010) find GED recipients less likely to enroll in college than comparable HS grads
- Jepsen et al. (2010) use RD design and find GED increases college enrollment
- Many studies of programs aimed at college outcomes find enrollment effects but do not examine attainment outcomes
- Kane (2003); Bettinger et al. (2009); Dynarski (2000); Cunha \& Miller (2010); Jepsen et al'(2010)


## Background: College Admissions Standards in TX

- 4-Yr. colleges and universities require HSD or an equivalent credential (e.g., GED)
- Some 2-Yr. colleges also require HSD or GED
- Other 2-Yr. colleges admit non-graduates who score well on a placement test or who petition for admission
- Other 2-Yr. colleges admit all applicants
- But informational barriers may prevent non-graduates from applying


## Background: High School Exit Exams

- Standardized tests taken in HS
- Students must pass in order to graduate from HS
- Used in TX since the 1980's, now in about $50 \%$ of U.S. states
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## Research Design

- Challenge: HSD recipients would have better outcomes than non-HSD recipients irrespective of HSD status
- Solution: Regression discontinuity
- Compare students "close" to exit exam passing cutoff


## Research Design: High School Exit Exams

HS Exit Exams: stylized description
Single test taken by everyone at end of grade 12, perfect compliance


# Research Design: High School Exit Exams 

- HS exit exams in practice

1. Multiple tests: math, reading, writing (must pass all 3 sections)
2. Retaking: Initially taken in G10 or G11, multiple retake opportunities
3. Imperfect compliance: can graduate if fail, not graduate if pass

# Research Design: High School Exit Exams 

- HS exit exams in practice

1. Multiple tests: math, reading, writing (must pass all 3 sections) with different scales

- Recenter each score at passing cutoff
- Redefine test score as $\min (M, R, W)$
- Fail if and only if $\min (M, R, W)<0$


## Research Design: High School Exit Exams

- HS exit exams in practice

2. Retaking: Initially taken in G10 or G11, multiple retake opportunities

- Focus on students taking final test at end of G12 ("last-chance sample)
- Estimates specific to students in last-chance sample (policy relevant)




## Research Design

- Exit exam passing status close to random near passing cutoff
- Variation in HSD status near passing cutoff unrelated to other determinants of college outcomes


## Density of last-chance scores



Average Initial Attempt Math z-score


## Research Design

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} H S D_{i}+\beta_{2} X_{i} s+\varepsilon_{i} \quad \text { [Structural Eqn, CONSTANT Effects] } \\
Y_{i}=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} \text { PASS }_{i}+f\left(p_{i}\right)+u_{i} \text { [REDUCED-FORM] } \\
D_{i}=\kappa_{0}+k_{1} \text { PASS }_{i}+g\left(p_{i}\right)+v_{i} \text { [FIRST-STAGE] }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\Longrightarrow\left[\hat{\beta}_{1}=\hat{\theta}_{1}, \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{1}\right]
$$

Standard RD (Imbens and Lemieux (2008), Lee and Lemieux (2009)
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## Data: Nources

- Administrative data from TSP
- High school (TEA)
- 5 cohorts: G10 in 1991-1995)
- Exit exam scores (all attempts)
- HS graduation status
- Baseline covariates
- GED
- Post-secondary (THECB)
- THECB data on public 2yr and 4yr colleges through 2005
- 8 Year follow up for all cohorts
- Enrollment
- Credits (attempted academic, total enrolled)
- Degree completion (BA, AA)


## Data: Sample

- Analysis sample
- Students who took the "last-chance" test (final $12^{\text {th }}$ grade retest)
- Took exam for the first time with their cohort (i.e., fall $11^{\text {th }}$ grade for first 2 cohorts; spring $10^{\text {th }}$ grade for last 3 cohorts)
$-N=37,571$


## Data: Descriptive Statistics

Distribution of initial scores (full and last-chance samples)


## Data: Descriptive Statistics

|  | Last-chance sample |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Sample | All | Fail | Pass |
| Demographics |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 0.487 | 0.421 | 0.416 |
| Black | 0.117 | 0.246 | 0.256 | 0.430 |
|  | Hispanic | 0.289 | 0.478 | 0.505 |
| Econ. Disadvantaged | 0.213 | 0.409 | 0.442 | 0.434 |
| Special Education | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.040 | 0.354 |
| Limited English proficient | 0.040 | 0.147 | 0.177 | 0.099 |
| At grade level (initial attempt) | 0.770 | 0.541 | 0.494 | 0.617 |
|  | Cohort 1 | 0.177 | 0.356 | 0.296 |
| Cohort 2 | 0.174 | 0.156 | 0.179 | 0.453 |
|  | Cohort 3 | 0.214 | 0.185 | 0.189 |
| Cohort 4 | 0.211 | 0.157 | 0.180 | 0.179 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.120 |
| Initial Exam | 0.949 | 0.956 | 0.956 | 0.955 |
|  | Took all Sections | $0.9(11.7)$ | $-14.9(7.9)$ | $-16.4(7.7)$ |
| Math (mean,sd) | $0.12 .4(7.4)$ |  |  |  |
| Reading (mean, sd) | $3.8(7.5)$ | $-5.7(6.8)$ | $-7.0(6.9)$ | $-3.7(6.2)$ |
| Writing (mean, sd) | $9.0(13.6)$ | $-2.7(11.4)$ | $-4.4(11.4)$ | $-0.0(10.8)$ |
| Pass all sections (\%) | 0.514 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total exam attempts in HS | $2.05(1.54)$ | $5.7(1.3)$ | $5.8(1.2)$ | $5.6(1.3)$ |
| Number of Observations | 777892 | 37571 | 0.051 | 0.220 |
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## Results: First Stage

## Reduced Form

First Stage

| $0.444^{* *}$ | $0.415^{* *}$ | $0.419^{* *}$ | $0.417^{* *}$ | $0.417^{* *}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0.007)$ | $(0.009)$ | $(0.012)$ | $(0.016)$ | $(0.009)$ |
| N | N | N | N | Y |
| Local |  |  |  |  |

Test score specification Linear Quad. Cubic Quartic Quad.

## Results: College Enrollment

Fraction Ever Enrolled in College


## Results: College Enrollment

Fraction Ever Enrolled, by 2yr/4yr


## Results: Enrollment Outcomes

| Reduced Form |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ever enroll | $0.059^{* *}$ | $0.042^{* *}$ | $0.040^{* *}$ | 0.032 | $0.043^{* *}$ | $0.103^{* *}$ |
|  | $(0.009)$ | $(0.012)$ | $(0.015)$ | $(0.018)$ | $(0.011)$ | $(0.027)$ |
| Ever enroll - 4yr | $0.015^{* *}$ | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.021 |
|  | $(0.005)$ | $(0.006)$ | $(0.008)$ | $(0.010)$ | $(0.006)$ | $(0.015)$ |
| Ever enroll - 2yr | $0.049^{* *}$ | $0.034^{* *}$ | 0.028 | 0.020 | $0.034^{* *}$ | $0.083^{* *}$ |
|  | $(0.009)$ | $(0.012)$ | $(0.015)$ | $(0.018)$ | $(0.011)$ | $(0.027)$ |
| Attempt any acad cred | $0.056^{* *}$ | $0.042^{* *}$ | $0.040^{* *}$ | $0.042^{*}$ | $0.044^{* *}$ | $0.105^{* *}$ |
|  | $(0.009)$ | $(0.011)$ | $(0.014)$ | $(0.018)$ | $(0.011)$ | $(0.027)$ |
| Baseline X's? | N | N | N | N | Y | Y |
|  | Local |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test score specification | Linear | Quad. | Cubic | Quartic | Quad. | Quad |

## Results: Attainment Outcomes

College Credits


## Results: Attainment Outcomes

## College Credits



## Results: Attainment Outcomes

College Graduation (BA or AA)


## Results: Attainment Outcomes

## Reduced Form

Total credits enrolled

Acad Credits

Earn BA or AA

Earn BA

Earn AA

| $2.563^{* *}$ | 1.266 | 0.645 | 0.650 | 3.301 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0.751)$ | $(0.931)$ | $(1.175)$ | $(1.445)$ | $(2.175)$ |
| $1.398^{*}$ | 0.332 | 0.023 | 0.139 | 1.016 |
| $(0.546)$ | $(0.677)$ | $(0.848)$ | $(1.041)$ | $(1.593)$ |
| -0.001 | -0.002 | -0.003 | -0.001 | -0.005 |
| $(0.003)$ | $(0.004)$ | $(0.005)$ | $(0.006)$ | $(0.009)$ |
| 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.005 |
| $(0.002)$ | $(0.003)$ | $(0.004)$ | $(0.004)$ | $(0.007)$ |
| -0.003 | -0.005 | -0.005 | -0.003 | -0.012 |
| $(0.002)$ | $(0.003)$ | $(0.004)$ | $(0.004)$ | $(0.007)$ |

N
Local

Test score specification Linear Quad. $\quad$ Cubic $\quad$ Quartic $\quad$ Quad

## Results: Enrollment Effects Over Time



## Results: Subgroups

|  | p-value for <br> Men $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men | Women | Women | Whites | p-value for <br> Whites $=$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonwhite | Nonwhites |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Interpretation: Why are Attainment Effects Small?

- College going in the last-chance sample low across the board
- "LATE" might be unusually small relative to other students in last-chance sample
- Data issues (no private, out of state schools)
- GED replaces regular high school diploma


## Interpretation: Policy Implications

- Policies that affect HS graduation unlikely to directly affect college attainment
- HSEE, course completion requirements, etc. may reduce graduation, but probably not college attainment
- Potential positive effects on college outcomes if quality of high school instruction improves


## Interpretation: Policy Implications

- Examining attainment effects critical for evaluations of programs that seek to improve college outcomes
- Interventions have largest effects on "marginal" students; persistence might be lowest among these students
- Relevant for evaluations of
- Scholarships (Kane, 2003; Dynarski, 2000)
- Financial aid information (Bettinger et al., 2009)
- General college informational campaign (Cunha \& Miller, 2010)


## Conclusion

- High school diplomas "matter" for college enrollment but not attainment
- Enrollment effects large, but short-lived
- Persistence among "marginal" students very low
- Policies that change HS graduation rates unlikely to have large effects on college outcomes
- Evaluations of programs that target college outcomes need to consider attainment and not just enrollment

